

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE
HSCG80-08-Q-3JM070b

Purchase six (6) 20-Foot Aluminum Skiff Workboats Brand Name (Kann) or Equal, complete with trailers, completely rigged with engines, power, and electronics installed by the builder in a ready to operate state.

The Contractor shall submit this questionnaire to two references for completion and return to the U. S. Coast Guard, MLCA, Norfolk, Virginia, at the address listed below. The offeror is responsible for ensuring that the questionnaire is completed and returned no later than the closing date of this solicitation. If the contractor has Contractor Performance Reports in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Contractor Performance System, those may be used instead of this questionnaire. If no questionnaires are submitted for a contractor and there are no NIH Contractor Performance Reports to review, the contractor will receive a neutral rating.

EVALUATION INSTRUCTIONS

You have been requested to provide contract-related information for manufacturing 20-Foot Aluminum Skiff Workboats Brand Name (Kann) or Equal, complete with trailers, completely rigged with engines, power, and electronics installed by the builder in a ready to operate state. Please provide your assessment of the company's level of past performance on the contract for which you are a reference. Mark the appropriate block, indicating whether the contractor's/subcontractor's past performance was Unsatisfactory, Poor, Fair, Good, Excellent, or Outstanding using the following rating guidelines. Space is provided for comments.

Please send the completed form directly to the mailing address, e-mail address or fax number listed below.

Commander (vpl-4)
Maintenance & Logistics Command, Atlantic
Attn: Gail McDaniel
300 East Main Street, Suite 600
Norfolk, VA 23510-9102

Gail.W.McDaniel@uscg.mil
Fax: (757) 628-4676

RATING GUIDELINES

Quality of Product or Service

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Non-conformances are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards containing similar requirements.

Poor Overall compliance requires significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Fair Overall compliance requires minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Good There are no, or very minimal, quality problems, and the Contractor has met the contract requirements.

Excellent There are no quality issues, and the Contractor has substantially exceeded the contract performance requirements without commensurate additional costs to the Government.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that was significantly in excess of anticipated achievements and is commendable as an example for others, so that it justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".

Cost Control

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Ability to manage cost issues is jeopardizing performance of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, this level of ability to manage cost issues constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards.

Poor Ability to manage cost issues requires significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Fair Ability to control cost issues requires minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Good There are no, or very minimal, cost management issues and the Contractor has met the contract requirements.

Excellent There are no cost management issues and the Contractor has exceeded the contract requirements, achieving cost savings to the Government.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where the contractor achieved cost savings and performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".

Timeliness of Performance

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Delays are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards.

Poor Delays require significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Fair Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Good There are no, or minimal, delays that impact achievement of contract requirements.

Excellent There are no delays and the contractor has exceeded the agreed upon time schedule.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".

Business Relations

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is not effective. If not substantially mitigated or corrected it should constitute a significant impediment in considerations for future awards.

Poor Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is marginally effective.

Fair Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is somewhat effective.

Good Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is consistently effective.

Excellent Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues exceeds Government expectation.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".

SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101 AND 3.104

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

Past Performance information is being requested on:

Name of Company being evaluated:

Address:

Contract Number:

Contract Type:

Period of Performance: From: To:

Total Contract Value: \$

Description of Contract:

During the contract performance being evaluated, this firm was the ☐ Prime Contractor

☐ Subcontractor

Ratings used in the NIH Contractor Performance System will be used to evaluate Past Performance. See the Rating Guidelines above.

		0	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
1.	Quality of Product or Service – please rate							
	Comments for Quality of Product or Service							
2.	Cost Control – N/A							
	Comments for Cost Control – N/A							
3.	Timeliness of Performance – please rate							
	Comments for Timeliness of Performance							
4.	Business Relations – please rate							
	Comments for Business Relations							

Fax:


SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101 AND 3.104



Action canceled

Internet Explorer was unable to link to the Web page you requested. The page might be temporarily unavailable.

Please try the following:

- Click the  Refresh button, or try again later.
- If you have visited this page previously and you want to view what has been stored on your computer, click **File**, and then click **Work Offline**.
- For information about offline browsing with Internet Explorer, click the **Help** menu, and then click **Contents and Index**.

Internet Explorer